

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/759,263	Applicant(s) KIEFFER ET AL.	
	Examiner Hugh B. Thompson II	Art Unit 3634	

All Participants:

(1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).

(2) Mr. Allen Groenke.

Status of Application: 1st Office Action

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2005

Time: 3pm(approx)

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

51

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agrees that claim 51 will be amended to change the dependency from claim 51 to claim 38.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Hugh B. Thompson II
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)